



Connected governance and delivery intelligence

Naya captures decisions. Sky Hawk verifies field execution. Tiger One connects both for management action.

FROM FIELD DATA TO EXECUTIVE DECISION.

Executive customer presentation


Decisions and delivery are usually recorded in different places

When calendars, minutes, field reports and project evidence remain disconnected, leaders cannot see whether institutional activity produced results.

-  **Fragmented records** Meeting invitations, attendance, minutes and project reports live in separate channels.
-  **Weak follow-through** Decisions lose owners, deadlines and verification evidence after the meeting.
-  **Limited visibility** Management sees activity volumes, but not the connection between time spent and delivery achieved.


Tiger One connects the institutional chain

Each product keeps a clear purpose while sharing identity, projects, workflows, audit, GIS and executive analytics.



Naya turns official activity into an accountable institutional record

It manages the lifecycle before, during and after meetings—then connects decisions to field activity and delivery.



Core value

Verified attendance • approved minutes • named actions • measurable organizational time

Sky Hawk verifies delivery where work happens

Field teams capture structured progress, location, evidence, issues and approvals against the work that management expects to see.



Project progress



Geotagged evidence



Task and report
workflow



Hierarchical approval

Result: field evidence becomes part of the same management story as the decision that initiated the work.

A decision can be followed all the way to verified delivery



The linkage is governed through shared identifiers, permissions, audit history and integration services.

Leaders can ask management questions, not assemble spreadsheets

Dashboards and reports are designed around accountability, time, location and delivery.

Activity	What meetings and official field activities took place—where and when?
Participation	Who participated, for how long, and under what verification method?
Delivery	Which decisions remain open, delayed or verified through field evidence?
Time	How many meeting-hours and field-hours were invested by project, unit or officer?

Official records remain human-approved, auditable and permission-aware

Automation accelerates work; governance determines what becomes authoritative.

01	Human approval	AI may draft transcripts, summaries and minutes; authorized users approve the official record.
02	Evidence integrity	Location, timestamps, media metadata and audit history support verification and controlled exceptions.
03	Privacy boundary	Capture official activity—not continuous personal movement—with role-scoped access and retention.
04	Resilient operation	Critical visit and reporting workflows are designed for intermittent connectivity.

Start with a governed pilot, then scale with evidence

The implementation sequence confirms the shipped capability set, customer policy, integrations and measurable release gates.



Commercial proposal available upon request

Designed for organizations where decisions must become accountable delivery

The strongest fit is where meetings, projects, field work and formal reporting already intersect.

Government ministries and agencies

City corporations and local government

Infrastructure and development programmes

NGOs, donor programmes and implementation partners



Begin with one high-value pilot

Select a project or unit with recurring meetings, accountable actions and field verification. Configure the workflow, prove the management value, then scale.

REQUEST A DISCOVERY SESSION

FROM FIELD DATA TO EXECUTIVE DECISION.